#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY  10: F.R. KELLY & CO. Atcn. Bovce, Concr 27 Clyde Read Ballebridge Dublir 4 IRLANDE	PCT  NOTIFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND THE WRITTEN ATOMINO OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION
	(PCT Rule 44 1)
	Date of mailing (day/month/year) 28/09/2007
Applicant's or agent's file reference P79726PC00	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below
International application No. PCT/EP2007/005330	International tiling date (day/month/year) 18/06/2007
FOTONATION VISION LIMITED  1. \( \sum \) The applicant is hereby notified that the international searce Authority have been established and are transmitted herew Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19. The applicant is entitled, the so wides is, omend the dair	
When? The time limit for fixing such amendments is no international Search Report.  Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 3 211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fascimile No.; (For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the a	4 chemin des Colombettes 41–22) 338.82.70
The applicant is hereby notified that no international search Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the I     With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional search and the search and the search and the search against payment of (an) additional search and the search and the search and the search against payment of (an) additional search and the search an	international Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.
the protest together with the decision thereon has bee	en transmitted to the International Bureau together with the ofest and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.
4. Reminders Snottly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, it international Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or pospon application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International E- before the completion of the technical preparations for internatio The applicant may submit comments on an informal bass on the	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international Sureau as provided in Rules 90bis.1 and 90bis.3, respectively, nal publication. written opinion of the International Searching Authority to the
International Eureau. The International Eureau will send a copy or international prefiniancy examination report has been or is over the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the point Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect on examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Citices even later); otherwise, the applicant must.	established. These comments would also be made available to ority date.  Me designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority Authorized officer European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 Nathalie Duperron 6 SEF 2067 European Patent Onitice, r. B. 5515 Factors and NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016 (See notes of accompanying sheet)

In respect of other designated Ottices, the time limit of 30 months (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19

See the Annex to Form PCT/IE/301 and, for details about the applicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's

months.

acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices.

Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# PCT

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant 3 or agent a nie releience	FOR FURTHER	see Form PCT/ISA/220			
P79726PC00	ACTION as w	ell as, where applicable, item 5 below.			
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)			
PCT/EP2007/005330	18/06/2007	11/08/2006			
Applicant					
FOTONATION VISION LIMITED	A				
This International search report consists of	f a total of4 sheets.				
It is also accompanied by a copy of each prior art document cited in this report.  1. Basks of the report					
<ol><li>With regard to the abstract,</li></ol>					
X the text is approved as sub	mitted by the applicant				
the text has been establish	ed, according to Rule 38.2(b), by this Author	ity as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant rch report, submit comments to this Authority			
6. With regard to the drawings,					
a. the figure of the drawings to be published with the abstract is Figure No. 5					
X as suggested by the					
as selected by this	Authority, because the applicant failed to su-	ggest a figure			
_	Authority, because this figure better character	-			
b none of the figures is to be	published with the abstract	75			
orm PCT/ISA/210 (first sheet) (April 2005)					

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/EP2007/005330

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER INV. GO6K9/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

#### B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

GO6K HO4N

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Flectronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical search terms used)

EPO-Internal

Category*	Citation of document with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to dam No	
Х	US 6 292 575 B1 (BORTOLUSSI JAY F [US] ET AL) 18 September 2001 (2001-09-18) abstract column 6, line 5 - column 7, line 42 column 9, line 14 - line 34	1-18, 23-25	
	column 13, line 58 - column 15, line 65 figures 3,4a,7a-c,10,11		
Х	WO 01/33497 A (MICROSOFT CORP [US]) 10 May 2001 (2001-05-10) page 10, line 15 - page 12, line 28; figures 4,5	1,15	
X	EP 0 578 508 A2 (SONY CORP [JP]) 12 January 1994 (1994-01-12) the whole document	1,15	
	-/		

χ	Further documents are listed in the	continuation of Box C
---	-------------------------------------	-----------------------

X See patent family annex

Special categories of cited documents

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance. "E" earlier document but published on or after the international
- fring date \*L\* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or
- which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or
- other means
- 'P' document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 September 2007

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ European Palent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL – 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel (431–70) 340–2040, Tx, 31 651 epo nl. Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

\*T\* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such docu-ments such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"8" document member of the same patent family Date of mailing of the international search report

28/09/2007 Authorized officer

Grigorescu, Cosmin

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No PCT/EP2007/005330

C(Continua	ation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT	
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No
P,A	NAYAK ET AL: "Automatic illumination correction for scene enhancement and object tracking" IMAGE AND VISION COMPUTING, GUILDFORD, GB, vol. 24, no. 9, September 2006 (2006-09), pages 949-959, XP005600656 ISSN: 0262-8856 abstract, Sections 4-6, Fig. 1-10, available on-line 30.06.2006	1-25
A	US 5 715 325 A (BANG RICHARD D [US] ET AL) 3 February 1998 (1998-02-03) the whole document	1-25
A	US 2005/018923 A1 (MESSINA GIUSEPPE [IT] ET AL) 27 January 2005 (2005-01-27) the whole document	1-25
4	EP 1 398 733 A (GRETAG IMAGING TRADING AG [CH]) 17 March 2004 (2004-03-17) the whole document	1-25
	US 2002/141640 A1 (KRAFT WALTER [CH]) 3 October 2002 (2002-10-03) the whole document	1-25

#### INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent ramily members

International application No PCT/EP2007/005330

			,,,			
	Patent document ed in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US	6292575	B1	18-09-2001	US	2002136448 A1	26-09-2002
WC	0133497	А	10-05-2001	AU US	1353801 A 6792135 B1	14-05-2001 14-09-2004
EP	0578508	A2	12-01-1994	DE DE JP JP US	69326394 D1 69326394 T2 3298072 B2 6030318 A 5430809 A	21-10-1999 23-03-2000 02-07-2002 04-02-1994 04-07-1995
US	5715325	A	03-02-1998	DE	19634768 A1	06-03-1997
US	2005018923	A1	27-01-2005	EP JP	1482724 A1 2004357277 A	01-12-2004 16-12-2004
EP	1398733	А	17-03-2004	CA US	2435160 A1 2004052414 A1	12-03-2004 18-03-2004
US	2002141640	A1	03-10-2002	CA EP JP	2371298 A1 1231564 A1 2002358513 A	09-08-2002 14-08-2002 13-12-2002

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

	n the ERNATIONAL SE/	ARCHING AUTH	IORITY					
To:				PCT  WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY				
	see form PCT/ISA/220							
					(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
				Date of mailing (daymonth/year)	see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
	olicant's or agent's file e form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below				
	rmational application T/EP2007/00533		international filing date 18.06.2007	(day:month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.08.2006			
	rnational Patent Clas V. G06K9/00	ssification (IPC) or	both national classificatio	n and IPC				
	olicant OTONATION VIS	ION LIMITED						
1.	This opinion or	ontains indicat	ons relating to the fo	University of the same of				
	·			nowing items:				
	☐ Box No. I	Basis of the o	inion					
	☐ Box No. II	Priority						
	☐ Box No. III ☐ Box No. IV			gard to novelty, inver	tive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Reasoned sta		is.1(a)(i) with regard	to novelty, inventive step or industrial			
	☐ Box No. VI	Certain docum		io supporting such si	atement			
			s in the international ap	plication				
			rations on the internatio					
2.	FURTHER ACT							
	written opinion o the applicant che	of the Internation coses an Author reau under Rule	al Preliminary Examinir itv other than this one t	ng Authority ("IPEA") o be the IPEA and th	vill usually be considered to be a except that this does not apply where the chosen IPEA has notified the national Searching Authority			
	submit to the IPE	EA a written repl mailing of Form	v together, where appr	poriate, with amenda	e IPEA, the applicant is invited to nents, before the expiration of 3 months months from the priority date,			
	For further option	For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3.	For further detail	ls, see notes to	Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Nam	e and mailing addres	as of the ISA	Date of o	ompletion of Aut	horized Officer			

ne and mailing address of the ISA:

Date of completion of this opinion

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentidag ee form NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas 1el +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Grigorescu, Cesmin Telephone No. +31 70 340-4889



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/EP2007/005330

-			
_	Во	x No. i	Basis of the opinion
1.	Wit	th rega	rd to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of
	$\boxtimes$	the in	ternational application in the language in which it was filed
		a trar purpo	slation of the international application into , which is the language of a translation furnished for the ses of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1 (b)).
2.	Wit	h rega essary	d to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. t	ype of	material:
	-	□ as	sequence listing
	1	□ tat	ple(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. fe	ormat o	of material:
	ĺ	□ on	рарет
	[	□ in	electronic form
	c. ti	me of f	iling-furnishing:
	[	□ co	ntained in the international application as filed.
	[	☐ file	d together with the international application in electronic form.
	0	□ fur	nished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		copies	ition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto nen filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as virate, were furnished.
4.	Add	itional	comments:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

#### 1 Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	11-25 1-10
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	<u>19-22</u> 1-18,23-25
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims No: Claims	1-25

#### 2. Citations and explanations

#### see separate sheet

#### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

#### see separate sheet

### VIII. Clarity (Art. 6 PCT)

- 1.1 It is not immediately apparent which is the aim of the last step of "enhancing the contrast" of claim 1. With reference to the description, it would appear that the contrast enhancement is envisaged for facilitating the tracking in subsequent frames. Said observation applies equally to the corresponding apparatus claim 15, as well as to independent claims 16, 23, 24 and 25.
- 1.2 In claim 4, it is not immediately apparent what is denoted by "relaxed face detection parameters".
- 1.3 The feature referring to the "exposure parameters" of claim 7 is vague. It cannot be understood which entities are denoted by "parameters" (time? diaphragm of an optical device?).
- 1.4 The terms "restrictive skin filter" and "relaxed skin filter" of claim 13 do not bear a clear technical meaning (Art. 6 PCT).

#### V. Novelty and inventive step (Art. 33(2) and (3) PCT)

Reference is made to the following document:

D1: US-B1-6 292 575 (Bortolussi Jay F [US] et al) 18 September 2001 (2001-09-18)

#### Claims 1-14

2 Claim 1 does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT in that it is not novel over document D1. This document discloses its features as follows:

A method of detecting faces in an image stream using a digital image acquisition device (col. 9. I. 14-50. Fig. 3) comprising:

- a. receiving an acquired image from said image stream potentially including one or more face regions (idem);
- b. sub-sampling said acquired image at a specified resolution to provide a sub-sampled image (idem);
- c. identifying one or more regions of said acquired image predominantly including skin tones (col. 6, I. 39-col. 7, I. 42);
- d. calculating a corresponding integral image for at least one of said skin tone regions of said sub-sampled acquired image ("region of interest", col. 6, I. 5-56);
- e. applying face detection to at least a portion of said integral image to provide a set of one or

more candidate face regions each having a given size and a respective location (the detection performed in the "eigenface" space, col. 13, l. 21-56); and

f. for a candidate face region associated with a previous image in said stream, enhancing the contrast of the luminance characteristics of the corresponding region of said acquired image (tracking of the face described in col. 17, L 49-col. 18, L 15; the computation of correlation between successive frames. col. 17, L 63-65 is based on the "eigentechnique" described in col. 13, L 9-56 and involves contrast modification, col. 13, L 57 - col.14, L 7).

All the features of claim 1 are disclosed by D1, therefore claim 1 is not new (Art. 33(2) PCT). The same observation applies to the corresponding apparatus claim 15.

- 3.1 The features of the dependent claims 2, 3, 5 are not new (Art. 33(2) PCT), see the above identified passages of D1.
- 3.2 A concept substantially identical with the "relaxed detection parameters" of claim 4 is described by D1, col. 14, l. 26-col. 15, l. 65. Claim 4 is therefore not new (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 3.3 The features of claim 6 are anticipated by the sequence described on D1, col. 17, l. 49 col. 18, l. 15; said claim is therefore not new (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 3.4 Considering that brightness is an "exposure parameter", claim 7 is anticipated by D1, col. 13, I. 57 col.14, I.26 (Art. 33(2) PCT).
- 3.5 Claims 8 and 9 are anticipated by D1, col.14, l, 26 col, 15, l, 65 (Art, 33(2) PCT).
- 3.6 Claims 10-12 concern features related to adaptation to various illumination conditions. Since automatic contrast adjustment by taking into account an image region is available in everyday digital cameras, said claims do not seem to involve an inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).
- 3.7 A concept substantially equivalent to the "relaxed skin filter" of claim 13 is described in connection with the various window functions, see D1, col. 14, L.37 col.15, I. 65. It is not immediately apparent which is the technical achieved by using (wo filters (a "restrictive filter" and a "relaxed filter", see also the clarity issue identified at 1.3 above). For this reason, it is considered that claims 13 and 14 lack inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

#### Claims 16-18,23-25

4.1 Step (f) of claim 16 and, respectively, claim 23, is implicitly disclosed by D1 in the sequence of

steps described on col. 17, I. 49-col. 18, I. 7, taken in combination with col. 13, I. 21 - col. 15, I. 65. For this reason claim 16 is considered as not inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 4.2 As of claims 17-18, see 3.7 above.
- 4.3 With the clarity provision concerning the "relaxed skin filter" mentioned at 1.4 above, claims 24 and 25 discloses combinations discussed at 3.5 and 3.7 above. For this reason, said claims lack inventive step (Art. 33(3) PCT).

#### Claims 19-22

5 The subject-matter of these claims is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art retrieved during the search. The specificity in the number of images considered during the tracking seem to lead to a more stable detection of the face region. Said claims are therefore considered as new and seem to involve an inventive step.